

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,469	09/15/2003	Kenneth J. Lanier	53470P015D3	7880
75	90 06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
Lester J. Vincent			SUAREZ, FELIX E	
BLAKELY, SC	KOLOFF, TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2857	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ø			
0.55	10/663,469	LANIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Felix E. Suarez	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this common one (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status.						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	ay 2005.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the m	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 26-30 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-30</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>26-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/a	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			000			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	eiveu iii tiiis Nationai St	aye			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		eived.				
	or and doramed dopied not red	5.10d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5)  Notice of Inforn	all Date nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/15/03; 11/15/04</u> .	6) Other:	-				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Abstract**

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it has more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Gage et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,188,253).

With respect to claim 26, Gage et al. (hereafter Gage) teaches a clocking apparatus for an electronic tester, comprising:

a first high speed clock generator coupled to a digital test circuit (see col. 4, lines 32-44 and FIG. 5A), wherein the first high speed clock generator generates a first clock having a first frequency that is a first multiple of an input frequency (see col. 4 line 60 to col. 5 line 3 and col. 5, lines 48-60);

Application/Control Number: 10/663,469

Art Unit: 2857

a second high speed clock generator coupled to an analog test circuit (see col. 4, lines 25-31 and FIG. 5A), wherein the second high speed clock generator generates a second clock having a second frequency that is a second multiple of the input frequency (see col. 4, lines 25-31);

a reference frequency clock source (see col. 4 line 67 to col. 5 line 12);

a variable clock generator coupled to the reference frequency clock source and coupled to the first and second high speed clock generators, wherein the variable clock generator has a continuously adjustable clock frequency that determines the input frequency for the first and second high speed clock generators (see col. 6, lines 1-17).

With respect to claim 27, Gage further teaches comprising a computer that sets the clock frequency of the variable frequency clock generator in response to user input with respect to the computer (see col. 3, lines 59-65).

With respect to claim 28, Gage further teaches that, the reference frequency clock source provides a reference clock for a clock (see col. 4 line 55 to col. 5 line 3) for an analog test circuit (see col. 3, lines 59-67).

With respect to claim 29, Gage further teaches that, the first and second multiples are each less than one (see col. 4 line 55 to col. 5 line 3 and col. 9, lines 41-42).

With respect to claim 30, Gage further teaches that, the first and second multiples are each greater than one (see col. 4 line 55 to col. 5 line 3 and col. 9, lines 37-40).

## Conclusion

### **Prior Art**

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mielke et al. [U.S. Patent No. 6,195,772] describes a programmable high speed clock.

Wohlfarth [U.S. Patent No. 6,232,759] describes a periodic master clock signal produced by a central clock source.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felix Suarez, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications. June 24, 2005

F.S.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800** 

Page 3